

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,430	01/28/2004	Brian L. Gibson	H0003690	3601	
7590 08/11/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Scott Jacobson, Esquire			CHEUNG, W	CHEUNG, WILLIAM K	
Honeywell International, Inc. 101 Columbia Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 2245			1713	1713	
Morristown, NJ 07962-2245			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· ·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astinus Occurrence	10/766,430	GIBSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William K. Cheung	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18,67 and 71-85 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18,67,71-85 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of of	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/766,430 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

- The request filed on July 24, 2006 for a Request for Continued Examination
 (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 10/766,430 is acceptable
 and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. Claims 1-18, 67, 71-85 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-18, 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaneko et al. (US 3,635,856) as affirmed by product literature of Mitsubishi-Kagaku Food Corporation, copyright 2002 (c) obtained from website

 http://www.mfc.co.jp/english/index.htm, for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 3 of the office action of January 18, 2006.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,430

Art Unit: 1713

5. Claims 71-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaneko et al. (US 3,635,856) as affirmed by product literature of Mitsubishi-Kagaku Food Corporation, copyright 2002 (c) obtained from website

http://www.mfc.co.jp/english/index.htm for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 4 of the office action of January 18, 2006.

Page 3

6. Claims 76-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaneko et al. (US 3,635,856) as affirmed by product literature of Mitsubishi-Kagaku Food Corporation, copyright 2002 (c) obtained from website http://www.mfc.co.jp/english/index.htm for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 5 of the office action of January 18, 2006.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed June 22, 2006 and July 31, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that Kaneko et al. and the product literature of Mitsubishi-Kagaku Food Corporation, individually and in combination, do not disclose an octo-ester. However, the examiner disagrees because the reference to Kaneko et al. (col. 5, line 26) by itself is sufficient to anticipate the invention of claims 1-18, 67, 71-85. Kaneko et al. clearly teach the incorporation of sucrose alkyl esters. Since Kaneko et al. are silent on "a mixture" of sucrose alkyl esters

or "a partially esterified" sucrose ester, the examiner has a reasonable basis to interpret the recited "sucrose alkyl esters" to mean a sucrose alkyl ester that has been fully esterified (100 wt% octa-substituted). The use of the product literature of Mitsubishi-Kagaku Food Corporation is merely to affirm the backbone structure of the claimed "sucrose structure" of the Formula I of claim 1. In view of the reasons set forth above, the rejection of claims 1-18, 67, 71-85 set forh in the final rejection of January 18, 2006 is proper.

Regarding the affidavits filed June 22, 2006, the <u>affidavits filed June 22, 2006</u> are <u>not signed</u>.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K. Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

August 4, 2006

WILLIAM K. CHEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER